

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/708,658	11/09/2000	Nicholas Sheppard Bromer		3157	
7:	590 02/05/2003				
Nicholas Bromer			EXAM	EXAMINER	
402 Stackstown Road Marietta, PA 17547			DRUAN, T	DRUAN, THOMAS J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/708,658	BROMER, NICHOLAS SHEPPARD				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas J. DruanAllan N. Shoap	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☑ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .	sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
✓ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ally					
Claim(s) objected to:	Alian N. Shoap					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.	Supervisory Patent Examiner					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	Group 3700					
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10.⊠ Other: Note attached Interview Summary.		•				
·						



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The submissions made with the amendment bring into question whether applicant's specification is accurate with respect to "specular" It is also not clear whether the submissions are to be considered as prior art. Also, is applicant trying to now claim something outside the range of the specification and claims? Lastly, it is not clear what is meant by "microscopically straight". It is not clear there is support for this or what is meant by it. For example, if atoms and molecules are in constant motion, what is straight?

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The submissions are not timely and it is not clear what their intent is with respect to their probative value since they are not in agreement with applicant's specification.